

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,721	04/10/2001	Glenn R. Smith	SVL920010005US1(IBM 2 8452 004	
46158	7590 10/25/2007		EXAMINER	
Rankin, Hill, Porter & Clark, LLP 925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 700				
Cleveland, OH 44115-1405			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AO.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/829,721	SMITH ET AL.			
(37 CFR 41.37)		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregory Vaughn	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The Appeal Brief filed on 23 October 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notification				
1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2.	2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pre	sented for review (37 CFR			
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for each grou	nd of rejection on appeal (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an append	lix thereto (37 CFR			
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.					

The claimed invention is not mapped separately to dependent claims 3,7 and 15, which contain "means for", which shall refer to the specification by page and line number. An entire brief is not required just the defective section should be submitted.

10. ☑ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

BRIDGET C. MONROE
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST